

# Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/719,199

Applicant(s)

CAMPBELL ET AL.

Examiner

Kenneth Thompson

Art Unit

3672

## All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Thompson.

(2) Shannon W. Bates.

**Status of Application:** Claims amended in response to non-final rejection

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 29 September 2006

**Time:** 9:55

## Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description:

## Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*N/A*

Claims discussed:  
*6 and 21*

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Solum, U.S. 3,285,345*

## Part II.

### SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Applicant's Attorney the the Examiner agreed to amend the claims to overcome the prior art above; and to amend the specification to more correctly describe the figures.*

## Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)